<del> </del>	A M M.	A . 1! 4/ - 1	M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Notice of Allowability	09/684,856	HUNTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zandra V. Smith	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERIT nerewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOINOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATER of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR	S IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in L-85) or other appropriate common NT RIGHTS. This application is s 1.313 and MPEP 1308.	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due co	urse. <b>THIS</b>
l. 🔯 This communication is responsive to <u>amendment filed</u> 2. 🔯 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-40</u> .	1 10 November 2003.		
B. $\boxtimes$ The anowed claim(s) is are $\underline{r-40}$ .  B. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on $\underline{06 \ October \ 2000}$ are accepted	by the Examiner		
<ul> <li>I. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> </ul>	·	or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2.   Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this national stage applicatio	n from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	1)).		
* Certified copies not received:			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic prior reference was included in the first sentence of the special (a) The translation of the foreign language provision Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic prior in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application	ecification or in an Application Da onal application has been receive rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/	ta Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. d.	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DAT below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMEN			
7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be s INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which			TICE OF
<ul> <li>B. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets")</li> <li>(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draft</li> <li>1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No</li> </ul>	•	w ( PTO-948) attached	
(b) I including changes required by the proposed draw	ing correction filed, whic	h has been approved by the Exa	miner.
(c) ☐ including changes required by the attached Exam	niner's Amendment / Comment or	r in the Office action of Paper No	··
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 Ceach sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as suc	` ''	•	ack) of
9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the outstached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F	•		te the
Attachment(s)			
☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5∏ Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
2☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3⊠ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No. ☐ Del Del Del 2003	•	mmary (PTO-413), Paper No	·
	SB/08), 7□ Examiner's A	Amendment/Comment	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Depos	sit 8□ Examiner's S	Statement of Reasons for Allowa	nce
of Biological Material	9 <u></u> Other	•	

Application/Control Number: 09/684,856

Art Unit: 2877

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-40 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious, a substrate inspection system that includes determining a topographical condition of the surface based on the difference between the mean value of the signal signature information and the reference mean value, wherein the signal signature information is indicative of process uniformity on the surface of the substrate, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 1 and 20.

As to claim 7, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious, as substratrate inspection system that includes, generating at a processing unit connected to the detector unit, signal signature information for the substrate representing characteristics of the portion of the optical signal, wherein the generating step comprises determining a first number of data readings at a given intensity for a range of signal-signature values, determining a mean value of the signal signature information, subtracting the mean value of the signal signature information from a reference mean value and, wherein the reference mean value is determined using a second number of data readings at the given intensity for the range of signal-signature values, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to claims 8 and 10, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious, in a substrate inspection, determining a mean value of the signal signature information and wherein determining the mean value of the signal signature information comprises: summing the signal-signature values to yield a sum; and dividing the

Application/Control Number: 09/684,856

Art Unit: 2877

sum by the number of signal-signature values subtracting the mean value of the signal signature information from a reference mean value, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claims.

As to claim 27, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious, in a substrate inspection system, performing an analysis of the substrate topography information comprising: at least one of a particle detection analysis and a process monitoring analysis, wherein the process monitoring analysis comprises:

- (i) determining whether a difference between a value of the data and a reference value exceeds a predetermined value, and
- (ii) if the difference exceeds the predetermined value, determining that an unacceptable topographical condition exists on the substrate, and outputting, to a display, the difference between the data value and the reference value, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to claims 29 and 33, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious, in a substrate inspection system, receiving substrate topography information from the optical inspection system comprising data obtained from the scanning step wherein the data comprises signal signature values, wherein each of the signal signature values is weighted by a number of occurrences of the signal-intensity value, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claims.

As to claim 39, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious, in a substrate inspection system, performing binarization of the data and performing morphological operations on the data wherein morphological operations comprise the

Art Unit: 2877

steps of filtering noise and enhancing the data output, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zandra V. Smith whose telephone number is (703) 305-7776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703)308-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0539.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

January 23, 2004